

- 1) **In order to reduce transition risk for successor contractor, please clarify what are or will be the contract stipulations to the current STEC incumbent for support to a “successor” contractor during the transition/phase-in period of STEC04 to effect a smooth and efficient transfer of responsibility.**

The only requirement on the current STEC contract is to “provide a smooth and orderly transition,” there are no other stipulations.

- 2) **Please provide a copy of the General Dynamics STEC 99 Phase-Out Plan to all bidders.**

Currently, a draft has been submitted, but requires significant rewrite. A final plan will not be available prior to proposal submission. Attachment 7 identifies the current projects and activities that will be performed in the transition period. Section L, paragraph 5.3.2 specifies the hours the Offeror should allocate.

- 3) **Section L.4.2.5.6 is titled “Small Business Participation” yet the text asks that we “describe the role of subcontractors.” Does this suggest that roles for all teammates are to be described or just small business teammates? Please clarify.**

The intent of Section L.4.2.5.6 is to address Small Business participation only.

- 4) **The DID name for CDRL A005 should be DI-FNCL-81537, not DI-MGMT-81537. Recommend changing CDRL A005 DID.**

Correct. Amendment 2 will be issued in the near future.

- 5) **Attachment 7 to Section L, paragraphs 2.7.4.5.d and 2.8.4.5.c state the need for supports at least every eight hours (24/7) for DSP and DSCS at CERES. Section L.5.5.1 (Scenario A) states that CERES is to have only one 8-hour operations shift, 5 days per week. Please clarify your expectations for Phase-In and Post Phase-In support for DSP and DSCS at CERES.**

Scenario A describes Core Operations. Attachment 7 paragraphs 2.7.4.5.d and 2.8.4.5.c describe Customer Workload for DSP and DSCS. The cost for operating CERES beyond the Core Operations requirement will be billed to the customer. Attachment 7 describes our expectations for Phase-in and Post-Phase-in support for both Core Operations and Customer Workload.

- 6) **Section L.7.3 states that “Programs with less than a year of performance will be considered relevant for Program Management (Subfactor 3) and Transition/Phase-In (Subfactor 4)” but Section M002.d states that Program Management and Transition/Phase-In is only Highly Relevant if Period of Performance is greater than 5 years. Please clarify the relevancy scoring for Subfactor 3 and 4 in terms of Period of Performance measurement.**

Correct. Reference paragraph M002.d Evaluation/Assessment Process Another View, Step 2 for relevancy scoring.

- 7) **Attachment 7 to Section L does not list SOW paragraph 3.1.1 (Contract Management) under support to any Core program. Recommend adding SOW 3.1.1 support to ARGOS and TSX-5 in order to properly allocate hours to Program Management.**

Attachment 7 only identifies tasks relating to specific missions, whether they are being conducted under Core Operations or Customer Workload. Contract Management is not tied to a specific mission. The 27,000 hours allocated to transition must cover all activity, including Contract Management.